



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/121,617 09/16/93 BACKSTROM

12M2/0906

BENTON S. DUFFETT, JR.
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS
THE GEORGE MASON BLDG., P.O. BOX 1404
WASHINGTON & PRINCE STREETS
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404

R 020325053

EXAMINER

HALEY, J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1201

DATE MAILED:

09/06/94

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

☒ This application has been examined ☒ Responsive to communication filed on 5-10-94 ☒ This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three month(s), _____ days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. | 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152. |
| 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> _____ |

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. ☒ Claims 29-36 are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.
2. ☒ Claims 1-28 have been cancelled.
3. ☒ Claims 31-32 are allowed.
4. ☒ Claims 29-30, 33-36 are rejected.
5. ☐ Claims _____ are objected to.
6. ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7. ☐ This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.
8. ☐ Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are ☐ acceptable. ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948).
10. ☐ The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____ has (have) been ☐ approved by the examiner. ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
11. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____, has been ☐ approved. ☐ disapproved (see explanation).
12. ☒ Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has ☒ been received ☐ not been received
☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____
13. ☐ Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
14. ☐ Other _____

EXAMINER'S ACTION

Art Unit: 1201

Claims 29-36 are pending.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Claims 29-30, 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Lauerer, et al., US 3,278,448. Lauerer et al. teach compounds useful as ultraviolet filters which are embraced by the genus claimed in claims 29 and 30. Note that when the formula at line 45 of column 1 of Lauerer defines Ar as dihydroxy-halophenyl, R¹ is cyano, R³ is hydrogen and R² is COX (X is primary or secondary amine), the compounds fall within the claimed genus. One having

of
the
the
the
the
the
the
the

Art Unit: 1201

ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated from this teaching of useful compounds to select among the substituents taught as equivalents to arrive at compounds useful for the reference utility. Absent a showing of unexpected properties, the compounds are obvious from Lauerer, et al. Note that the composition claims are also included in this rejection since the compounds in combination with a carrier are considered to be compositions.

Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered, however the same are not persuasive. The fixed position of the X group is not sufficient to overcome the obviousness of the claimed compounds since Lauerer still discloses isomers of such as well as having generic disclosure to such. Applicant's arguments that the species disclosed by Lauerer are so far removed from the claimed compounds herein are to no avail since Lauerer is far more instructive than the species disclosed therein. First, it is pointed out that while Lauerer may only disclose a few specific amide compounds, it is clear that his invention is directed to acids, esters and amides. Further, one having ordinary skill in the art in possession of an ester or acid would readily be able to convert to the amide, especially given Lauerer's teaching of equivalency of such. Applicant has not shown that any of the claimed compounds possess unexpected properties from the compounds of Lauerer. Absent such, the compounds are obvious.

Art Unit: 1201

Claims 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, fourth paragraph, as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Each of the newly-presented claims is directed to a compound, yet these claims are dependent from a composition. Thus, the term "the compound" used in each claim finds no antecedent support, and also suffers under 35 USC 112, second paragraph as failing to distinctly claim the invention, i.e. as being indefinite.

Claims 31-32 are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Serial Number: 08/121,617

-5-

Art Unit: 1201

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner
Haley at telephone number (703) 308-4548. The examiner may normally be
reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 am until 6:00 pm.

jh
August 30, 1994

Haley
Atty.

Robert W. Ramsauer
Robert W. Ramsauer
Art Unit 1201